1	Jack P. Burden, Esq.				
2	Nevada State Bar No. 6918 Xiao Wen (Shirley) Jin, Esq.				
3	Nevada State Bar No. 13901 BACKUS, CARRANZA & BURDEN 3050 South Durango Drive Las Vegas, NV 89117 T: (702) 872-5555				
4					
5					
6	F: (702) 872-5545 jburden@backuslaw.com				
7	shirleyjin@backuslaw.com Attorneys for Defendant <i>The Vons Companies, Inc.</i>				
8					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
11	PAMELA PORTER,				
12	Plaintiff,				
13	VS.				
14	THE VONS COMPANIES,				
15	Defendant.				
16	NOTICE OF REMOVAL				
17 18	Defendant The Vons Companies, Inc. ("Defendant"), by and through its counsel of record,				
19	BACKUS, CARRANZA & BURDEN, hereby files this instant notice of removal of this action to the				
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 20 \end{bmatrix}$	United States District Court for the District of Nevada, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, and				
21	1446, and in support thereof, states as follows:				
22	I.				
23	FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY				
24	1. Plaintiff Pamela Porter ("Plaintiff") is and was a resident of the State of Nevada; and is				
25	therefore a citizen of Nevada for purposes of diversity. <i>See</i> Complaint at ¶2, filed in Clark				
26	County District Court, Nevada, attached as Exhibit A.				
27	2. Defendant is a company organized, existing, and doing business under and by virtue of the				
- '	laws of the State of Michigan, with its headquarters and principal place of business in the				

- State of California, and is therefore a citizen of Michigan and California for purposes of diversity.
- 3. Plaintiff's Complaint was filed in the Eighth Judicial District Court for Clark County, Nevada on or about July 27, 2020. *See* Exhibit A.
- 4. The Summons and Complaint were served on Defendant on August 18, 2020. *See* Proof of Service, attached as Exhibit B and Summons, attached as Exhibit C.
- 5. Plaintiff's Complaint asserts against Defendant claims for (1) negligence/premises liability and (2) negligent hiring/supervision, and alleges that on or about October 28, 2018, she slipped and fell on Defendant's premises and was injured as a result. *See* Exhibit A at ¶¶7-29.
- 6. Plaintiff seeks general and special damages in an amount in excess of \$15,000. *Id.* at 7:4.
- 7. On September 16, 2020, Plaintiff filed a Request for Exemption from Arbitration requesting to be exempted from the mandatory arbitration program and claiming that her past medical damages are \$90,598.14. *See* Plaintiff's Request for Exemption from Arbitration at 2:1-6, attached hereto as Exhibit D. Notably, Defendant was not served with this Request, and only became aware of it through the Arbitration Commissioner's decision granting the exemption request served on October 1, 2020. *See* Commissioner's Decision on Request for Exemption, attached hereto as Exhibit E.

II. <u>DIVERSITY JURISDICTION</u>

- 8. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1332(a), a federal district court "shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000" and the controversy is between "citizens of different states." *See* 28 § U.S.C. 1332(a).
- 9. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1), this case may be removed to United State District Court for the District of Nevada because there is complete diversity of citizenship between Plaintiff and each Defendant, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.
- 10. There is complete diversity in this matter as Plaintiff is a citizen of Nevada and Defendant is a citizen of Michigan and California.

- 11. Further, the requisite amount in controversy under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) is also met as Plaintiff claims her past medical damages are \$90,598.14.
- 12. Accordingly, this Court has diversity jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).
- 13. Defendant respectfully requests this matter be removed to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.

III. THE PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS FOR REMOVAL HAVE BEEN SATISFIED

- 14. 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(1) states: "The notice of removal of a civil action or proceeding shall be filed within 30 days after the receipt by the defendant, through service or otherwise, of a copy of the initial pleading setting forth the claim for relief upon which such action or proceeding is based, or within 30 days after the service of summons upon the defendant if such initial pleading has then been filed in court and is not required to be served on the defendant, whichever period is shorter."
- 15. 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(3) states: "Except as provided in subsection (c), if the case stated by the initial pleading is not removable, a notice of removal may be filed within thirty days after receipt by the defendant, through service or otherwise, of a copy of **an amended pleading, motion, order or other paper** from which it may first be ascertained that the case is one which is or has become removable (emphasis added)."
- 16. This instant Notice for Removal is timely in that it is filed within 30 days of the first notice of Plaintiff's claimed damages via the Arbitration Commissioner's Decision on Plaintiff's Request for Exemption from Arbitration on October 1, 2020. Notwithstanding not being served with the Request for Exemption from Arbitration, this Notice is also filed within 30 days of the Request dated September 16, 2020.
- 17. This Court has original jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), as there is complete diversity between the parties and there is more than \$75,000 in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 18. Pursuant to the 28 U.S.C. § 1441, this action is one which may be removed to this Court.
- 19. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(c)(1), the date for this removal is not more than one year after

1	the July 27, 2020 commencement of the state court action.			
2	20. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(2)(A), no other defendants need to join or consent to the			
3	removal of this action as there is only one defendant.			
4	21. A true and correct copy of this Notice of Removal will be filed with the Clerk for the			
5	Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada.			
6	22. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446, a true and correct copy of all process, pleadings, and orders			
7	served upon Defendant in the state court are attached hereto. See Complaint attached as			
8	Exhibit A, Proof of Service attached as Exhibit B, Summons attached as Exhibit C,			
9	Plaintiff's Request for Exemption from Arbitration attached as Exhibit D, Commissioner's			
10	Decision on Request for Exemption attached as Exhibit E, Defendant The Vons			
11	Companies, Inc.'s Answer attached as Exhibit F, Defendant The Vons Companies, Inc.'s			
12	Demand for Jury Trial attached as Exhibit G, and Defendant The Vons Companies, Inc.'s			
13	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure attached as Exhibit H.			
14	23. Therefore, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, and 1446, Defendant is entitled to remove			
15	this action to this Court.			
16	<u>PRAYER</u>			
17	24. WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that the above-entitled action be removed from the Eighth			
18	Judicial District Court in and for Clark County, Nevada.			
19	DATED this 9 th day of October, 2020.			
20	Respectfully Submitted,			
21	BACKUS, CARRANZA & BURDEN			
22				
23	By: /s/ Jack P. Burden			
24	Jack P. Burden, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6918			
25	Xiao Wen Jin, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 13901			
26	3050 South Durango Drive			
27	Las Vegas, NV 89117 Attorneys for Defendant			
28				

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I am a resident of and employed in Clark County, Nevada. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is: 3050 South Durango Drive, Las 3 Vegas, Nevada, 89117. 4 On October 9, 2020, I served this document on the parties listed on the attached service list 5 via one or more of the methods of service described below as indicated next to the name of the served individual or entity by a checked box: 6 VIA U.S. MAIL: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage 7 thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence by mailing. Under that 8 practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage fully 9 prepaid at Las Vegas, Nevada in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is 10 more than one day after date of deposit for mailing an affidavit. 11 **VIA FACSIMILE:** by transmitting to a facsimile machine maintained by the attorney or the party who has filed a written consent for such manner of service. 12 13 **BY PERSONAL SERVICE:** by personally hand-delivering or causing to be hand delivered by such designated individual whose particular duties include delivery of such on behalf of the 14 firm, addressed to the individual(s) listed, signed by such individual or his/her representative accepting on his/her behalf. A receipt of copy signed and dated by such an individual 15 confirming delivery of the document will be maintained with the document and is attached. 16 **BY E-MAIL:** by transmitting a copy of the document in the format to be used for attachments 17 to the electronic-mail address designated by the attorney or the party who has filed a written consent for such manner of service. 18 **BY ELECTRONIC MEANS:** by electronically filing and serving with the court's vendor. I 19 declare that under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada that the above is true and correct. I further declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of 20 this court at whose direction the service was made. 21 22 23 /s/ Anne Raymundo 24 An employee of BACKUS, CARRANZA & BURDEN 25 26 27 28

. .

SERVICE LIST

ATTORNEYS OF RECORD	PARTIES REPRESENTED	METHOD OF SERVICE
Scott L. Poisson, Esq. Jamie Corcoran, Esq. BERNSTEIN & POISSON 320 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89107 T: (702) 877-4878 F: (702) 256-6280 Jamie@Vegashurt.com	Plaintiff	 □ Personal service □ Email service □ Fax service □ Mail service ⊠ Electronic Means